

Spring 2-27-1980

Senate Meeting February 27, 1980

Academic Senate
Illinois State University

Follow this and additional works at: <http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes>



Part of the [Higher Education Administration Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Senate, Academic, "Senate Meeting February 27, 1980" (1980). *Academic Senate Minutes*. Paper 463.
<http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/senateminutes/463>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Academic Senate at ISU ReD: Research and eData. It has been accepted for inclusion in Academic Senate Minutes by an authorized administrator of ISU ReD: Research and eData. For more information, please contact ISUReD@ilstu.edu.

February 27, 1980

Volume XI, No. 12

Contents

Call to Order

Roll Call

Approval of the Minutes of January 30, 1980

Chairperson's Remarks

Vice-Chairperson's Remarks

Administrators' Remarks

Student Body President's Remarks

Resignation of Senator

Seating of New Senator

*Army ROTC Extension Program at Illinois State University

Athletic Council Modifications

Campus Recreation Fee

Committee Reports

Communications

Adjournment

*Appendix

Meetings of the Academic Senate are open to members of the University Community. Persons attending the meetings may participate in discussion with the consent of the Senate.

Persons desiring to bring items to the attention of the Senate may do so by contacting any member of the Senate.

Academic Senate Minutes
(not approved by the Academic Senate)

February 27, 1980

Volume XI, No. 12

Call to Order

The meeting of the Academic Senate was called to order by Chairperson Cohen at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call

The roll was called by Secretary Kohn and a quorum was declared present.

Approval of Minutes of January 30, 1980.

XI,88 A motion to approve the minutes of January 30, 1980 was made by Sims and seconded by Varner. Mr. Fulton suggested that on page 4 under the Army ROTC item the first line should read, "Andy Morrison, member of the Academic Affairs Committee, presented this proposal." Mr. Friedberg wanted the following sentence added at the bottom of page 4: "Mr. Friedberg also inquired about the course approval procedures and was assured that ROTC courses would be handled in the same manner as existing courses." The minutes were approved as corrected.

Chairperson's Remarks

Mr. Cohen presented the following resolution to the Senate:

RESOLVED that the Academic Senate of Illinois State University hereby declare its membership in the Association of State University Governance bodies. FURTHER, that the chairperson of the Academic Senate be the representative to that body. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Academic Senate declares its approval of the organization's aim of lobbying for (at least) a 13% salary raise for fiscal year 1981.

XI,89 A motion (Young/Sims) to accept this resolution was made and approved.

Vice Chairperson's Remarks

There were no remarks.

Administrators' Remarks

Dr. Watkins announced that Dr. William Semlak had resigned as Director of the Honors Program to accept the position of chairperson of the Department of Information Sciences.

Mr. Gamsky announced that there would be a committee of review appointed to study the student fees throughout the Regency system. The committee would be meeting soon on our campus and be soliciting input on student fees. Any information or input should be given to the Student Affairs Office.

Mr. Watkins announced that Ms. Fitzpatrick, a member of the Board of Regents, was injured in a serious automobile accident and will be in Masonic Hospital for at least three weeks. Mr. Watkins remarked that Ms. Fitzpatrick had made excellent contributions to the Regency system.

Student Body President's Remarks

There were no remarks.

Resignation and Seating of Senator

XI,90 Mr. Cohen announced that Mr. Robert Romeo, Theatre Department, had resigned as an Academic Senator. A motion (Koehler/Fulton) to accept this resignation was made and approved. Mr. Cohen welcomed his replacement, Marilyn Newby, Department of Art, to the table.

ACTION ITEMS

Army ROTC Extension Program at Illinois State University*

XI,91 Mr. Miller presented a proposal to establish an ROTC Extension Program at Illinois State University and moved approval (seconded Hicklin). Mr. Hicklin remarked that the committee conducted two hearings where it heard from a wide variety of people including students who wished to be part of the program. Some of the students were already in the Reserves and found this a very meaningful experience. Mr. Schwalm remarked that the number of hours accepted for graduation for the ROTC members be carefully watched. Mr. Morrison introduced a member of the Peace and Justice Coalition who presented petitions with 131 signatures of opponents of an ROTC training program at Illinois State University. Mr. Shulman spoke in favor of the proposal, as long as it gave students full freedom to join or not to join. Mr. Friedberg asked about the origins of the funds for ROTC and was informed by Mr. Belshe that for next year the money would come from general university funds. Mr. Friedberg asked about the space problems that we have now and the impact of the ROTC on our space needs. A brief discussion ensued regarding remodeling and declining enrollment as affecting space problems. Mr. Kirk said that he came to the open hearings with an open mind. He became convinced that the ROTC provided a useful opportunity for a certain number of students to get aid for their education which they might not get in any other way. Mr. Henriksen said that he had heard a variety of opinions. Conflicting values were involved and he was opposed to the proposal.

XI,92 A motion (Shulman/Hicklin) to move the previous question was approved. The main motion passed by voice vote.

Athletic Council Modifications

XI,93 Mr. Turnbull, Chairperson of the Student Affairs Committee, presented this proposal and explained the suggested modifications. A motion (Turnbull/Jackson) to approve the modification was made. Ginnis/Zunker moved to amend by
XI,94 increasing the membership from 13 to 15 and inserting after "...Intercollegiate Athletics for Women," a men's coach and a women's coach. After a brief discussion, the amendment failed in a voice vote. A motion (Ginnis/Zunker) was presented to add, on Page 4, Section F: A men's sport coach and a women's sport coach shall be elected to serve in an ex officio capacity by the respective coaching staffs. These coaches may be elected to successive terms.
XI,95 Mr. Turnbull explained the rationale behind having the athletic director and the coaches sit on the council. Ms. Mabry was introduced and remarked that the coaches wanted to be represented on the council and that so far they felt that they had not been represented at all. Mr. Strand came to the table and said that part of the problem seemed to be that the coaches were a small part of the sport and their ideas may be different from the others. Mr. Strand explained

that some of the issues that were raised tonight came up unexpectedly and this was the reason that none of the men's coaches were in attendance right now. Consequently, a motion (Fulton/Hicklin) to postpone consideration of this item until the next Senate meeting was made and passed.

Campus Recreation Fee

Mr. Tuttle explained the rationale of the proposal and moved its adoption (seconded by Miller).

- (a) That the process used by Campus Recreation for determining faculty/staff user fees should include direct costs only and should take into account the fact that some activities operate almost completely upon marginal costs (i.e. noon hour swimming and north gym noon hour basketball).
- (b) That the university should continue to provide financial support sufficient to cover overhead costs which might be attributed to faculty/staff participation in all Campus Recreation activities; for example, the Campus Recreation office re-examine the specific decisions to charge user fees to faculty/staff for noon hour swimming at McCormick, noon hour basketball in the north gym, and use of Horton track for running.
- (c) That the cost, which is nominal, should be covered by the university as a fringe benefit.
- (d) That in no way should loss of faculty/staff money be compensated for by student fees.
- (e) That all consultations with affected groups (i.e. students, faculty/staff) regarding changes in fee structure should be such that the groups have opportunity for response before decisions are finalized.

Ms. Voorhees spoke on what waiving of faculty recreation fees would do to student fees. Mr. Watkins said that the students have been paying more than their fair share in student fees. The recreation fee was little enough and in these times we should all be paying our fair share. Mr. Fred came to the table and said that prior to this year student fees were funding a fairly large portion of faculty and staff items. Mr. Belshe said that the user fee was making a contribution but he warned that in terms of the allocation process, all costs would come from general revenue funds. Mr. Jackson asked if this would mean a cut in services. Mr. Friedberg said that figuring the costs seemed to be a matter of interpretation and that this particular item needed more clarification. Mr. Morris remarked that there was confusion as to how the costs are figures, i.e., the difference between the direct cost is figured on a participation basis or on some other basis. Mr. Hirt remarked that some of the faculty fees go to the students and asked where the money would be found if the fee were not charged. Mr. Kirk felt the need for more clarification on how the costs were determined. Mr. Morrison suggested that no cutback in services to faculty or students may occur as a result of these recommendations and this was accepted as a friendly amendment. A motion (Kohn/Miller) to move the previous question was made and approved. The main motion was now put to a vote and passed.

INFORMATION ITEMS

There were no information items.

Committee Reports

The internal committees announced their next meeting times.

Communications

There were no communications.

Adjournment

XI,99 A motion (McCarthy/Koulos) to adjourn was made and approved.

For the Academic Senate,

Walter Kohn, Secretary

IC:WC:c:pch

NAME	ATTEN- DANCE	VOTE							VOICE VOTE		
		Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion #	Motion No.	Y	N
Barton	P								88	x	
Belshe	P								89	x	
Boaz	P								90	x	
Boothe	P								91	x	
Brown	ex								92	x	
Coats	P								93	pp	
Cohen	P								94		x
Conlon	P								95	pp	
Filer	ex								96	x	
Friedberg	P								97	x	
Friedhoff	P								98	x	
Fulton	P								99	x	
Gamsky	P										
Ginnis	P										
Grever	P										
Gschwendtner	P										
Hemenway	A										
Henriksen	P										
Hicklin	P										
Hirt	P										
Jackson	P										
Kern	P										
Kirk	P										
Koehler	P										
Kohn	P										
Koulos	P										
Lee	P										
Maddox	A										
Madore	P										
McCarthy	P										
Miller	P										
Morrison	P										
Newby	P										
Price	A										
Raus	P										
Ritch	ex										
Rosenbaum	P										
Schechtman	P										
Schmaltz	P										
Schwalm	P										
Segler	P										
Shulman	P										
Sims	P										
Turnbull	P										
Tuttle	P										
Varner	P										
Voorhees	P										
Watkins	P										
Young	P										
Zunker	P										

MEMO

ISU

ILLINOIS STATE UNIVERSITY

Office of Associate Provost and
Dean of Undergraduate Instruction

January 16, 1980

To: The Academic Affairs Committee
Brian Barton, Chairperson

From: Gene Jabker *Gene*

Subject: Army ROTC Extension Program at Illinois State

The purpose of this memorandum is to request approval of an Army ROTC Extension Program at Illinois State by the Academic Affairs Committee and subsequently by the Academic Senate. This Extension Program as suggested in the attached Document of Agreement to be signed if approved would begin with the fall semester of 1980. (Note: The Document of Agreement is a working draft only.)

The background, in brief, for this request is as follows. The Commander of the Army ROTC Unit (Lt. Col. Robert Poshard) at the University of Illinois initiated a series of conversations in November and December to determine whether or not Illinois State might be interested in participating in a cooperative ROTC venture. This is part of a national effort by the Army to expand its officer training programs in institutions of higher education. The Department of Defense has approved the creation of 41 new extension units throughout the United States including Illinois State University.

Since then, the College Council in Applied Science and Technology has given its unanimous approval to support the administration of such a program within the college (see attachment B). A tentative budget for equipment and operations in the first year has been developed, office space in Turner has been identified, and the Army is prepared to send one commissioned and one noncommissioned officer to Illinois State this year to teach the military science courses and begin the operation of the program next fall. In other words, a number of preliminary plans have been made in anticipation of the possible approval of the program by the Senate; however, it should be emphasized that such plans are tentative--no formal commitments have been made.

It should be emphasized also that approval is requested for an Extension Program only at this time; however, it should be understood that if this extension effort is successful, a request for an autonomous program will be submitted to the Senate for approval. Central to an autonomous unit would be the establishment of a Department of Military Sciences, a Minor in Military Sciences, and regular course offerings that may be applied to graduation requirements.

Approval of the Extension Program at this time does not imply advance approval of the elements of an autonomous unit. Such requests will follow standard procedures of the University.

From a cost-benefit perspective, approval of the Extension Program will enable us to offer a career option at Illinois State that has not been available. Scholarship opportunities at the state and national levels could prove to be effective incentives to attract very capable students to Illinois State who have been forced in the past to go where such programs exist. (There are 6500 "full-ride" competitive scholarships available at the national level currently, and the U.S. House of Representatives has approved a bill for an additional 5500. At the state level, Illinois State would be able to award 10 scholarships each year to a maximum of 40, and 3 scholarships are available to transfer students from each Community College with more than 1000 students enrolled.) In essence, the existence of such a program at Illinois State could complement our effort to attract better students.

On the cost side, Illinois State would be required to provide office, classroom, storage, and drill space for the program. For the first year only, office space will be provided in Turner; however, other space must be designated in the second year. If the program expands as expected, a possible contingent of five commissioned, three noncommissioned officers, and one or two secretarial persons will need office space. Classroom and drill space would be arranged in the normal scheduling procedures. The University is expected also to provide standard office furniture and minimal resources for operation (commodities, printing, computer services, and local telecommunications). Salaries of the military personnel, travel, and long distance telephone charges will be provided by the Army. During the first year, equipment and operating costs would be \$9,279 (\$500 student help; \$4,000 secretarial support--half time; \$3,579 equipment; \$1,200 operating).

In brief, then, the basic question is whether or not Illinois State University should enter into an agreement to establish a military science program that might prove to be an incentive to recruit able students at a relatively moderate cost to the University.

xc: Dean Razor

NOTE: A COMPLETE TEXT OF THE AGREEMENT IS ON FILE IN THE
ACADEMIC SENATE OFFICE. 2/27/80